

# HELENSBURGH AND LOMOND AREA COMMITTEE MINUTES OF MEETING 8th March 2018 10:00 am Lamont House 9-19 Stuckleckie Road Helensburgh Present:

Cameron Grant (CG) – Tenant Sonja Aitken (SA) – Independent Danny Kelly (DK) – Independent Sandra Glazsher (SG) – Tenant

# Staff Members Present:

Yvonne Angus Regional Manager HNS (YA) Paul Monaghan Housing & Neighbourhood Services (PM) Wendy Nicholson Administration Officer Lomond (WN)

#### Members of the Public

Jim Milne Board of Management (JM)

1.	Welcome & Apologies:	Actio
	CG welcomed all members to the meeting.	n
	CG advised members that PM will take Agenda Item 6 and Agenda Item11 first to	
	allow PM to leave the meeting.	
	Apologies:	
	Bobby Beggs (BB) – Tenant	
	Councillor Aileen Morton – Council Representative	
2.	Minutes of Previous Meeting 18 <sup>th</sup> January 2018 with Matters Arising	
	Page 2 Item 4: "received by our member SAL" should be amended to read	
	"received from our member SAL". WN to amend.	
	Page 5 Item 5 "SG asked if ACHA" should be amended to read "SA asked if	
	ACHA". WN to amend.	
	Page 7 Item 14 "annual Scottish Housing Regulators meeting" should be	
	amended to "annual Regional Network meeting" WN to amend.	
	Page 7 Item 16 "Argyll Bute and Council" should be amended to read "Argyll	
	and Bute Council". WN to amend.	
	Following these amondments the minutes of the provisus meeting were approved	
	Following these amendments the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as an accurate record.	
	Proposed by: SG	
	Seconded by: DK	
	Matters Arising:	
	CC advised that SAL did not wish to complete the Coverning Redy Approical Form	
	CG advised that SAL did not wish to complete the Governing Body Appraisal Form due to the fact he is currently on a Leave of Absence.	
	due to the fact he is currently of a Leave of Absence.	

	<b>Page 4 Item 3</b> – CG had requested information on the life expectancy of the cyclical maintenance elements. YA confirmed details supplied by our Investment and Regeneration Department as follows:			
	Kitchens Bathrooms Heating Rewires Windows Doors	25 years 25 years 15 years for Boilers and 25 years 25/30 years 25/30 years	Electric Systems 25 yea	ars
	<b>Page 4 Item 3</b> – members had requested a site visit to our new New Build Project at Castlewood Court Helensburgh. YA confirmed that she had been liaising with the Regeneration Manager and is hopeful a site visit could be arranged for May 2018. YA thought members might like to consider visiting the site at the time of their next meeting.			
	he felt was in an Management Tea	DK had advised of an at unsafe condition. PM up am were aware of the car tuation is being closely m	dated members that the and that the tenant had	Housing
3.	Conflicts of Inte	rest to Declare		
	Members declare	ed no conflicts of interest	for the March meeting.	
4.	Correspondence	e		
	CG received written thanks from Helensburgh & Lomond Carers who recently received a Community Action Fund Award. The letter was read out in its entirety for the benefit of all members.			
	WN confirmed apologies had been received from Police Scotland, however, Inspector Kirk had indicated he will be available to attend our next scheduled meeting of the 10 <sup>th</sup> May.			
5.	Regional Manag	jer Report		
	Presented by YA with the following topics being covered:			
	Investment and Regeneration			
	Investment Wor Package	ks Estimated Tar 2017/18	get To end Jan 18	
	Windows/Doors	197	278	
	<u>Kit/Bath</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>	

<u>Roof/Rough</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>60</u>	
	276	351	
Repairs & Maintenance Contract	Annual Repair numbers End Jan 18	Monthly Ave.	Ave, Repairs per Day
	3876	388	18.9

## **Cyclical Programme**

- <u>Gas Contract</u>: 100% Valid Landlord Gas Safety Record (LGSR)
- <u>Solid Fuel</u>: All properties on way to have their second visit completed by 31<sup>st</sup> March. Expected 100% of properties to have Solid Fuel Certificates issued.
- <u>Guttering Cleaning</u>: almost complete with no issues.
- <u>Painting and Pre Painting</u>: will not achieve full target working with Contractor to improve
- <u>Electrical Periodic Testing</u>: running behind target regular meetings in place with Contractor
- <u>Unvented Cylinder</u>: Contract progressing will expect full budget spend
- <u>Water Testing</u>: Contractor started Tank Disinfection within our sheltered housing complexes

### Castle Wood Court (former Jutland Court site)

- 32 Affordable Units for ACHA
- Developer Callum Williamson Ltd is progressing ahead of schedule
- First Handover scheduled for August 2018

#### **Blairvadach Shandon**

- Negotiations are underway with ACHA, Developer Allanwater Developments and Argyll & Bute Council to purchase the land and Site.
- Potential for over 100 units

# **Tenants Conference 2018 Suggestion Feedback**

- 89% of attendees wished a Conference every year
- 7% of attendees wished a conference every two years
- When we asked where we should hold the next conference the top 3 locations were:
- Inveraray with 41%
- Oban with 30%
- Cowal with 15%

#### **Top 3 Topics for Discussion at a future conference:**

- Tenant Participation with 29% of the vote
- Planning Services for the Future 26% of the vote
- Is your Rent Value for Money 18% of the vote

#### **Customer Service Centre**

#### New Call Management System

- New Call Management System installed
- Live date was 17th January 2018
- Monitors the type of calls received via 0800 number from customers

Rent Increas	e 2018/19		
<ul> <li>Consul</li> <li>Tenant</li> <li>This water</li> <li>80 tenation</li> <li>Board at the second secon</li></ul>	tation on proposed rent increase cost consulted on an increase of 4.2% as less than the uplift based on the ants responded to the consultation	historic assumption of RPI+1% their meeting on 8 <sup>th</sup> February 2018	
<ul><li>Last M</li><li>Format</li><li>Job Sh</li></ul>	<b>pdate - Estate Management</b> eeting held 31 <sup>st</sup> January 2018 for Estate Inspections was agreed adow will take place in Oban on the noon was postponed due to the seve	e 20 <sup>th</sup> February. The planned visit	
Estate Manag	gement Action Plans – Update		
attention to a	I the Committee with the proposals slight error under appendix 1 where		
	hould read 35 Kirkton Crescent. nembers that if these proposals wer		
PM advised m	hould read 35 Kirkton Crescent. nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n	e agreed costs will be sought and	
PM advised m brought back	nembers that if these proposals wer	e agreed costs will be sought and	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dis	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n	e agreed costs will be sought and	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dis Renewal of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below:	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dis Renewal of f Provision of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dis Renewal of f Provision of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35 fence at front, back and side at no.35	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross Baird Avenue, Helensburgh	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dis Renewal of f Provision of f Provision of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35 fence at front, back and side at no.35 front fence at no.19	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross Baird Avenue, Helensburgh Benbouie Drive, Helensburgh	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dis Renewal of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35 fence at front, back and side at no.35 front fence at no.19 side fence at no.5	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross Baird Avenue, Helensburgh Benbouie Drive, Helensburgh Quarryknowe, Rhu	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dise Renewal of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35 fence at front, back and side at no.35 front fence at no.19 side fence at no.5 dividing fence at rear of no's 21 & 22	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross Baird Avenue, Helensburgh Benbouie Drive, Helensburgh Quarryknowe, Rhu Ferry Road, Rosneath	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dise Renewal of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f Install gate in	hembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35 fence at front, back and side at no.35 front fence at no.19 side fence at no.5 dividing fence at rear of no's 21 & 22 front fence at no.71	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross Baird Avenue, Helensburgh Benbouie Drive, Helensburgh Quarryknowe, Rhu Ferry Road, Rosneath Feorlin Way, Garelochhead	
PM advised m brought back Proposals dise Renewal of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f Provision of f Install gate in Provision of f	nembers that if these proposals wer to Committee for approval at their n cussed are detailed below: ront fence with gate at no 35 fence at front, back and side at no.35 front fence at no.19 side fence at no.5 dividing fence at rear of no's 21 & 22 front fence at no.71 n existing fence at read of no.7	e agreed costs will be sought and neeting in May 2018. Kirkton Crescent Cardross Baird Avenue, Helensburgh Benbouie Drive, Helensburgh Quarryknowe, Rhu Ferry Road, Rosneath Feorlin Way, Garelochhead Argyll Road, Rosneath	

7.	Tenants Newsletter Article Deadline for Submission 14 <sup>th</sup> March 2018	
	Members were asked to agree an article for inclusion in Tenants Newsletter	
	Members discussed various options and after much debate SG agreed to produce an article to help promote ACHA membership and involvement in the Area Committee. She will contact WN if she requires assistance.	SG
8.	Community Action Fund Applications	
	Members were asked to consider a Community Action Fund application from Loch Long Jetty Association.	
	Members discussed the application and agreed to the following:	
	<ol> <li>The Loch Long Jetty Association requested £500.00 to provide an aesthetically pleasing, environmentally friendly, floating pontoon on Loch Long in Arrochar. This will provide a safe pedestrian access to and from the loch. A further two visitor moorings will be installed to encourage sailors to visit the area and discover Arrochar.</li> </ol>	
	Members agreed to unanimously award the full £500.00.	WN
	CG queried what was happening about coordinating the radio adverts to promote the CAF fund. YA agreed to raise this the Chief Executive on CG's behalf.	YA
9.	Policy Review (paper previously issued)	
	<ul> <li>Anti Social Behaviour – members agreed they now wished to be involved in the consultation process. In light of the topics being discussed at the May meeting it was agreed that WN would circulate the current Anti Social Behaviour Policy in advance of the meeting.</li> <li>CCTV Policy – mid year review</li> <li>Void Management Policy - mid year review</li> <li>Staff Protection Policy – due December 2018</li> <li>Group Restraint Policy – due March 2019</li> </ul>	WN
	Scheme of Delegated Authority this was issued to members in advance of the meeting to afford time to review the paper and bring comments to the meeting today.	
	Section 4 Delegated Responsibilities: comments as follows:	
	Section 1 – Delegated Responsibility In terms of Investment and development priorities, the Area Committee are not currently consulted on the priorities. They would, however, like this to remain, and for them to be consulted in future.	
	Section 4 – Delegated Responsibilities Estate Management – this needs to be made clearer in terms of what the delegated responsibility is, including the management of the budget. Health & Safety Update – Members are not sure what is delegated to them in terms of Health & Safety. This needs to be clearer	
	5	

Governance Updates – is this a delegated responsibility? If so, it needs to be made clearer and an explanation of what is delegated Land Disposal Updates – the wording is incorrect. Area Committees do have a delegated responsibility. They are consulted prior to the Board making the final decision Best Neighbour Award – this is delegated to Chair's only. Members of the Area Committee do not get involved Employee of the Season – this needs to be reworded as not done quarterly (only 3 AC's involved therefore, it is rotated). Employee of the Year – this is delegated to Chairs only. Members of the Area Committee to not get involved Policy Review – they felt this needs to be reworded to make it clearer what they actually do. Community Action Fund – felt this was too wordy and just needs to say that they promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area. Tenants & Residents Association funding – this should be removed <b>Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements</b> In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets <b>Section 6 – Review</b> They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed. Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected. <b>Key Performance Indicator Report – 3'<sup>rd</sup> Quartile</b> YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following: <b>Percentage of Tenancy Refused:</b> It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CC Gaked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA contirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team. <b>Average Relet Tine</b>			
delegated responsibility. They are consulted prior to the Board making the final decision         Best Neighbour Award – this is delegated to Chair's only. Members of the Area Committee do not get involved         Employee of the Season – this needs to be reworded as not done quarterly (only 3 AC's involved therefore, it is rotated).         Employee of the Year – this is delegated to Chair's only. Members of the Area Committee to not get involved         Policy Review – they felt this needs to be reworded to make it clearer what they actually do.         Community Action Fund – felt this was too wordy and just needs to say that they promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area. Tenants & Residents Association funding – this should be removed         Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements         In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets         Section 6 – Review         They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed.         Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected.         10       Key Performance Indicator Report – 3''d Quartile         YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:         Percentage of Tenancy Refused:         It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telep		clearer and an explanation of what is delegated	
Committee do not get involved Employee of the Season – this needs to be reworded as not done quarterly (only 3 AC's involved therefore, it is rotated). Employee of the Year – this is delegated to Chairs only. Members of the Area Committee to not get involved Policy Review – they felt this needs to be reworded to make it clearer what they actually do. Community Action Fund – felt this was too wordy and just needs to say that they promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area. Tenants & Residents Association funding – this should be removed Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets Section 6 – Review They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed. Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected. Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following: Percentage of Tenancy Refused: It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team. Average Relet Times: YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days. Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement. The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: • Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets. • Current Rent Arreears annual		delegated responsibility. They are consulted prior to the Board making the final	
<ul> <li>AC's involved therefore, it is rotated).</li> <li>Employee of the Year – this is delegated to Chairs only. Members of the Area Committee to not get involved</li> <li>Policy Review – they felt this needs to be reworded to make it clearer what they actually do.</li> <li>Community Action Fund – felt this was too wordy and just needs to say that they promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area.</li> <li>Tenants &amp; Residents Association funding – this should be removed</li> <li>Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets</li> <li>Section 6 – Review</li> <li>They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed.</li> <li>Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected.</li> <li>10 Key Performance Indicator Report – 3<sup>rd</sup> Quartile</li> <li>YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:</li> <li>Percentage of Tenancy Refused: It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.</li> <li>Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.</li> <li>A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> <li>Average Relet Times:</li> <li>YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target</li></ul>		Committee do not get involved	
Committee to not get involved Policy Review – they felt this needs to be reworded to make it clearer what they actually do. Community Action Fund – felt this was too wordy and just needs to say that they promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area. Tenants & Residents Association funding – this should be removed Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets Section 6 – Review They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed. Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected. 10 Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following: Percentage of Tenancy Refused: It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team. Average Relet Times: YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days. Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement. The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets. • Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.		AC's involved therefore, it is rotated).	
actually do. Community Action Fund – felt this was too wordy and just needs to say that they promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area. Tenants & Residents Association funding – this should be removed Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets Section 6 – Review They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed. Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected. 10 Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following: Percentage of Tenancy Refused: It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team. Average Relet Times: YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days. Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement. The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: • Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets. • Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.		Committee to not get involved	
<ul> <li>promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area. Tenants &amp; Residents Association funding – this should be removed</li> <li>Section 5 – Liaison Arrangements In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets</li> <li>Section 6 – Review They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed.</li> <li>Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected.</li> <li>10 Key Performance Indicator Report – 3<sup>rd</sup> Quartile</li> <li>YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:</li> <li>Percentage of Tenancy Refused:</li> <li>It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verificatione process on applications before offers are made.</li> <li>Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.</li> <li>A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> <li>Average Relet Times:</li> <li>YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:</li> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul>		actually do.	
In terms of budgets, more explanation needed in terms of targets Section 6 – Review They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed. Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected. Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following: Percentage of Tenancy Refused: It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team. Average Relet Times: YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days. Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement. The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets. Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.		promote awards and are responsible for applications received in their area.	
They queried the 12 month review of the SDA. And whether this, in reality, should be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed.         Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected.         10       Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile          YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:         Percentage of Tenancy Refused:       It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.         Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.       A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.         Average Relet Times:       YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.         Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.         The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:         Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.         Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.			
<ul> <li>be every 3 years? They want to be consulted each time it is reviewed.</li> <li>Following the outcome of the consultation Members felt it would be helpful if they can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected.</li> <li><b>Key Performance Indicator Report – 3'<sup>d</sup> Quartile</b></li> <li>YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:</li> <li><b>Percentage of Tenancy Refused:</b></li> <li>It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.</li> <li>Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.</li> <li>A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> <li><b>Average Relet Times:</b></li> <li>YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:</li> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul>		Section 6 – Review	
can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments were rejected.         10       Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile         YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:         Percentage of Tenancy Refused:         It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3.         This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.         Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.         A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.         Average Relet Times:         YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.         Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.         The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:         • Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.         • Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.			
<ul> <li>10 Key Performance Indicator Report – 3<sup>rd</sup> Quartile</li> <li>YA went through the Key Performance paper with members highlighting the following:</li> <li>Percentage of Tenancy Refused: It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> <li>Average Relet Times: YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days. Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:</li> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul>		can be advised what comments were included in the final draft, and what comments	
<ul> <li>following:</li> <li>Percentage of Tenancy Refused: <ul> <li>It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3.</li> <li>This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.</li> <li>Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.</li> <li>A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Average Relet Times: <ul> <li>YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: <ul> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	10	Key Performance Indicator Report – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quartile	
<ul> <li>It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.</li> <li>Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.</li> <li>A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> <li>Average Relet Times: YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:</li> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made.</li> <li>Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals.</li> <li>A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is reviewed each month by the Senior Housing Management Team.</li> <li>Average Relet Times:</li> <li>YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:</li> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul>		Percentage of Tenancy Refused:	
<ul> <li>YA had real concerns over this figure which has a target of 14 days and in quarter 3 is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: <ul> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		It was noted this figure had reduced from 60% in Quarter 2 to 43% in Quarter 3. This is largely due to the work being carried out by staff who are carrying out a telephone verification process on applications before offers are made. Q: CG asked if we keep an accurate record of all our refusals. A: YA confirmed that detailed analysis is produced on offer refusals and is	
<ul> <li>is sitting at 45 days.</li> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: <ul> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local processes requiring improvement.</li> <li>The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target: <ul> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Percentage of anti social behaviour cases which are resolved within locally agreed targets.</li> <li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li> </ul>		Poor contractor performance has contributed to this figure, along with local	
<ul><li>agreed targets.</li><li>Current Rent Arrears annualised as a percentage of rent due.</li></ul>		The following Indicators were either meeting or exceeding target:	

11			
-	Members discussed the proposals and unanimously agreed to approve the Land Disposal Request for Barge Court Rhu.		
12	Your Voice Update		
•	This formed part of the Regional Managers update – Agenda Item 5		
13	Chairs Update		
13	<ul> <li>Chairs Update</li> <li>Tenants Panel will meet on the 20<sup>th</sup> March in Dalriada House Mid Argyll. CG explained that the meetings were now being circulated around different areas and it is hoped the Mid Argyll meeting will be as successful as the one in Campbeltown. CG also advised that the 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting will be held in Oban. Election of the Officer Bearers had been postponed from the November to the January meeting, however with no new members or members wishing to take on the role of Chair and Secretary members agreed to re elect the Chair and Secretary for 2018/19. CG circulated a list provided by a member of the Homeless Team who attended the Tenants Panel meeting in Kintyre showing what items are listed in their Starter Packs. CG advised members that the Homeless Team were open to suggestions as to what should be included in the Starter Packs.</li> <li>Regional Network Meeting was held on 26<sup>th</sup> January in Aberdeen with the next meeting scheduled for 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2018 with their AGM on 12<sup>th</sup> May 2018 which again will be in Aberdeen. CG advised members that after the AGM the new format of 4 regions as opposed to 9 will begin. CG also circulated the current Newsletter</li> <li>Cardross Tenants and Residents Association will meet next week – CG confirmed that a developer had approached Argyll and Bute Council with a view to opening the access road at the bottom of Barrs Road Cardross. Lengthy discussions took place on this and DK felt that if there were no proper sight lines at the end of the road then permission could not be granted due to safety issues.</li> <li>SHR Update – George Walker has just recently been appointed Chair of the Scottish Housing Regulator. Further information can obtained from the website.</li> <li>Ga lso circulated information to members on the following topics which can also be found on the Scottish Housing Regulator website.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Pactoring Services Paper has been published</li> <li>Rent Consultation in Registered Social Landlords is going to be looked at to ensure consultation is being carried out appropriately. CG circulated the rent consultation issued by East Ayrshire Council to show an example.</li> <li>Gas Safety Report completed and on website</li> <li>"How We Regulate" Framework also on the website</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Fire Safety Information is also available from the website</li> <li>Recruitment is also underway for a new board member – as no Tenants are currently on the Board it is hoped that they will be able to recruit a social tenant member.</li> </ul>		
14	Agenda Items for meeting in May 2018		
.	Members agreed the paper with the addition of:		
	Police Scotland attending		

	Jackie Baillie attending	
	Site Visit to Castlewood Court	
15	AOCB	
	No other business was discussed and the meeting closed at 11.55pm	
16	Date and Time of next Meeting: 10 <sup>th</sup> May 2018 at 10am in Lamont House	
	Question and Answer Session – general public	
	JM thanked members for an informative meeting. He commented that the	
	Association currently issued 2 Tenant Newsletters each year along with an annual	
	Landlords Report and had recently held an annual Tenants Conference. He	
	thought it may worth considering reducing the Newsletters to an annual circulation.	